Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/758,536	STANICH ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Bernard Krasnic	2624
All Participants: Status of Application:		
(1) <u>Bernard Krasnic (Examiner)</u> .	(3)	•
(2) Mr. Sean M. McGinn (Reg. No. 34,386).	(4)	
Date of Interview: 19 July 2007	Time: <u>2pm</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I. Rejection(s) discussed:		
Rejection(s) discussed.		
Claims discussed: 6, 13, and 16-17		
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet		
Part III.		
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
		,
	•	•
		
Romand Rearing		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)		

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Examiner wanted to offer an Examiner's Amendment to expedite prosecution on some minor claim objections. Mr. McGinn agreed to these minor claim corrections and faxed the Examiner a copy of the amended claims with the appropriate corrections. This fax has been attached to this interview summary.